



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,842	04/30/2001	Akira Murasugi	SPO-112	1096
7590 05/17/2004			EXAMINER	
David R Saliwanchik			QIAN, CELINE X	
Suite A 1 2421 NW 41st Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Gainesville, FL 32606-6669			1636	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-
1-
J
7
~ 5
\sim \sim
11

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/762,842	MURASUGI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Celine X Qian	1636

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 17 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued

	ation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
b) 🗌	The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extens have been f 37 CFR 1.1 (b) above, it	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 7 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. Th	he proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🛭	★ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) 🗆	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) [they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) [they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3.□ Ap	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment anceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
	he a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the pplication in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	
	ne affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly aised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	
	or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an xplanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
Th	he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed:	
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>6,7,9,13 and 14</u> .	
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,8 and 10-12</u> .	
_ C	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8 .□ Th	ne drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. □ No	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10.□ O	Other: Anne-Marie Da)
	ANNE-MARIE FALK, PH.D	

PHINARY EXAMINER

Application No.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/762,842

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment will not be entered because it raises new issues that would require further consideration. For example, the recitation of "enhanced secretory expression" in claim 5 renders the claim indefinite because it is a relative term, and it is unclear what level expression is considered "enhanced." As such, the metes and bounds of the claim cannot be established. In addition, the introduction of limitation "inducing the expression of MK protein..." in claim 8 would require new search for the claim. Therefore, the proposed amendment will not be entered.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed amendment will not be entered for reasons given above (see box 2). Therefore, the proposed amendment will not be considered and the claims are rejected for same reason set forth of the record mailed on 12/17/03.